What concretely has brought you to the SIOG 2016 Advanced Course?

- Contact with other countries
- Contact with geriatricians
- Contact with oncologists
- Knowing different healthy systems.
- As ageing is different individually, also is different collectively. Social conditions and healthy systems influence the Oncogeriatrics' development as a discipline.
- It should be consider in mind before general or particular recommendations.
- Knowing good friends.
- Have good time (nice dinner!!!)

What has improved in your institution since?

In my institution, we work on Oncogeriatrics since 2004.

We have asked Spanish medical oncologists about the situation of Oncogeriatrics in Spain through an online interview.

Do you feel there are more elderly patients in daily clinical practice?

YES: 92%
NO: 8%

Do you think this population should be considered differently?

YES: 100%
NO: 0%

DO YOU CONSIDER PERFORMANCE STATUS IS ENOUGH FOR THIS POPULATION?

Yes: 60%
No: 40%

IS THERE SOMEONE IN YOUR ONCOLOGY SERVICE THAT SPECIFICALLY ATTENDS GERIATRIC PATIENTS?

Yes: 52%
No: 48%

How do you intend to develop links across worlds of oncology and geriatrics in the future?

WORKING GROUP BETWEEN ONCOLOGISTS (SEOM) AND GERIATRICIANS (SEGG):

In July 2016 we have signed a collaboration document between Spanish Society of Medical Oncology and Spanish Society of Geriatrics and Gerontology.

FIELDS TO WORK ON:

- Geriatric assessment
- Nutrition
- Pain
- Comorbidity
- Programs to control polypharmacy
- Cognitive impairment
- Epidemiology and clinical aspects of cancer in the elderly
- Pharmacologic interactions

What do you think Oncogeriatrics should give to the Oncologists in their daily clinical practice?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Nº of answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nothing, we don’t need it</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral management of elderly</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>87,95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity prediction</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical practice assessment</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific evidence</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival prediction</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty prediction</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>85,54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>